

<b>MEETING</b>	<b>COUNCIL</b>
<b>DATE</b>	<b>6 March 2025</b>
<b>TITLE</b>	<b>Establishing The North Wales Corporate Joint Committee Joint Overview And Scrutiny Committee .</b>
<b>AUTHOR</b>	<b>Monitoring Officer</b>
<b>PURPOSE</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Establish the North Wales Corporate Joint Committee Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee with the Terms of Reference at Appendix 1</b></li> <li><b>2. Agree that the powers of local Scrutiny Committees provided for under The Corporate Joint Committees (General) (No. 2) (Wales) Regulations 2022 shall be retained</b></li> <li><b>3. Agree the political balance of Cyngor Gwynedd's nominees to the joint overview and scrutiny committee (JOSC) will reflect the membership of Cyngor Gwynedd rather than the membership of all North Wales councils in aggregate</b></li> <li><b>4. Agree that the secretariat for the JOSC will be provided by the CJC in accordance with the Terms of Reference</b></li> </ol>
<b>CABINET MEMBER</b>	<b>Cllr. Nia Jeffreys, Council Leader</b>

### **Reason for the Decision**

To provide for scrutiny arrangements for the North Wales Corporate Joint Committee (the CJC)

Statutory guidance and existing practice points towards the six councils establishing a joint overview scrutiny model so that there is a single dedicated body which is immersed in the work of the CJC. This body will not displace the ability for local scrutiny of the CJC as a partner body .

### **Background**

1. The North Wales Corporate Joint Committee (the CJC) was established on 1 April 2021 and subsequently gained it's functions in June 2022. Currently these functions are to:
  - prepare, monitor, review and revise a regional Strategic Development Plan;
  - develop a Regional Transport Plan with policies for regional transport;
  - the power to do anything which is likely to promote economic wellbeing
2. It is a separate local authority body with its own functions and membership primarily drawn from the 6 North Wales Council and the Eryri National Park Authority. It has statutory requirement to effectively adopt most of the generic governance arrangements of a Principal Authority including a Constitution, Governance and Audit

Sub- Committee, Standards Sub-Committee and other legal requirements including Performance Reviews, Equalities and Biodiversity Policies and compliance with the Welsh language standards.

3. The need for Scrutiny of the CJC is enshrined in both the Regulations and the Statutory Guidance. An element of “scrutiny” is already in built within the form of the Governance and Audit Sub-Committee for example, but this has a specific process and performance role and is not a “Overview and Scrutiny” Committee. There is an expectation that the CJC will be subject to Scrutiny.

### **Overview and Scrutiny**

4. The requirement to establish Scrutiny Committees is an intrinsic part of the Executive system. However, there is no corresponding statutory requirement for a CJC to establish its own Scrutiny Sub-Committees to exercise similar functions. Indeed, the current statutory guidance (“ the Guidance”) on CJC the envisages that this will be based on scrutiny by the constituent authorities:

#### **34. Overview and scrutiny arrangements**

*34.1 Regulations on overview and scrutiny are proposed for spring 2022. It is envisioned that this will be based around scrutiny by the constituent councils of the CJC and not scrutiny by the CJC of its own work. This will be an important part of the democratic accountability of the CJC. As part of the councils general approach to scrutiny any scrutiny arrangements should be undertaken in line with any published scrutiny guidance and the Democracy Handbook (when published).*

*34.2 In considering the most effective and efficient approach to scrutiny, constituent councils and CJsCs should give thought to the benefits of a joint overview and scrutiny committee made up of the constituent councils. The clear aim and ambition however must be to create, facilitate and encourage a clear democratic link back to the constituent councils.*

*34.3 As part of the regulations discussed in 34.1 it is proposed that CJC members and staff will have a duty to provide information to the scrutiny committee; attend committee meetings if requested to do so; and consider any report or recommendations made by a committee within the agreed arrangements and which relate to the CJC*

#### **(Corporate Joint Committees: Statutory Guidance-WG 2022)**

5. **The Corporate Joint Committees (General) (No. 2) (Wales) Regulations 2022** impose a duty on the CJC to co-operate with a “relevant” Scrutiny Committee and respond to any reports or recommendations prepared by the Scrutiny Committee. A “Relevant Scrutiny Committee” may be a Committee of the Constituent Authorities, or a Joint

Scrutiny Committee appointed by those authorities. This means that where a scrutiny committee exercises its powers to make a report to the authority or its executive "on matters which affect the authority's area or the inhabitants of that area " and that relates to the functions of the CJC, certain requirements stem from this.

The CJC must co-operate with the Relevant Scrutiny Committee and give it such reasonable assistance as it requests including.

- arranging for a member of the CJC to attend to answer questions
- arranging for a member of staff of the CJC to attend to answer questions
- providing information
- providing documents (with provision for exempt information)
- consider the report or recommendation, and
- where the Scrutiny Committee formally publishes a report, the CJC must publish a statement setting out the steps it intends to take in light of the report or recommendation in exercising its functions within 2 months

This right will not be replaced by the establishment of the JOSOC

#### **Current Corporate Joint Committee Scrutiny - Wales.**

6. Currently in relation to the other three Corporate Joint Committees in Wales joint scrutiny arrangements have been put in place. These are either JOSOC'S created and agreed with the constituent Councils or established by CJC as part of their governance arrangements. Some do pre-date the 2022 Regulations.

#### **Outline of proposed JOSOC CJC scrutiny roles:**

7. (a) To review or scrutinise decisions made, or other action taken, in connection with the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the CJC (not "call in");  
(b) To make reports or recommendations to CJC respect to the discharge of any functions which are the responsibility of the CJC;  
(c) To make reports or recommendations to the CJC on matters which affect the CJC .

Its cycle of meetings would need to be agreed as would the support requirement and work programmes etc.

#### **Membership**

8. It is proposed that the JOSOC would have 12 members who would not be executive members of the Constituent Councils. This results from an underlying requirement that there is an equal number of members from each of the Councils. In addition, members are appointed by each Council as far as practicable on the basis of their respective political balance, as opposed to the overall North Wales political make up of the membership. So appointments from Conwy e.g would be based on the political balance of Conwy and appointments from Flintshire would be based on their political balance etc..

#### **Resourcing**

9. Neither option is a resource neutral arrangement. Officer time and capacity will need to support both the options. It can be anticipated that the JOSC would meet about three times per annum. There would have to be liaison between the CJC staff and Scrutiny Teams to establish agenda items which would involve forward planning of scrutiny work. This would be the same for each model if we are to avoid a general up-date approach to scrutiny. The level of resource required to regularly attend all scrutiny meetings, research agenda items and work planning for each can be anticipated to be significant. A Joint Scrutiny Committee meeting remotely is unlikely to generate additional issues around members remuneration.

### **Growth Deal**

10. On the 17<sup>th</sup> of December 2021 ,Conwy County Borough Council, Denbighshire County Council, Flintshire County Borough Council,Cyngor Gwynedd Isle of Anglesey County Council, Wrexham County Borough Council (the “Constituent Councils”) ; together with Bangor and Wrexham Universities, Coleg Cambria and Group Llandrillo Menai entered into a Joint Working Agreement (“GA2) which established a Joint Committee responsible for overseeing and coordinating the discharge of the Councils’ obligations in relation to the North Wales Growth Deal and delivering the North Wales Growth Vision.
11. However, and this was anticipated in GA2 that the Growth Deal could potentially be transferred to the CJC, which is a matter specifically reserved to the Councils. The Constituent Councils resolved in their in principle decisions in 21/22 to transfer the growth Deal to the CJC .The overall strategic impetus to transfer stemmed from the correlation in membership between the NWEAB and the CJC and the membership of the CJC, the wish to avoid a multiplicity of regional bodies with similar functions, and the various legal and operational advantages and efficiencies of the CJC being its own corporate entity.
12. As part of GA2 a Scrutiny Protocol was adopted which provided a framework for scrutinising the work of the EAB. This provided for provision of regular reports and attendance by EAB officers at scrutiny. Because, unlike the CJC the EAB was subject to “call in” of it’s decisions there was also an agreed process to manage potential multiple call in. In reality there has been no call in of the EAB’s decisions. This arrangement was possible because of the narrow scope of the EAB’s functions which were limited to economic wellbeing and development and in practice involved one scrutiny committee from each Council. Scrutiny of the CJC with it’s range and developing range of functions needs to be considered in a different context. The proposed model of a JOSC would in reality enable a more pro-active scrutiny approach and a closer relationship between the scrutiny function and the CJC. It should also be emphasised that the proposed model does not take away the statutory powers of the individual scrutiny committees of the constituent Councils, where appropriate, to call on the CJC to contribute and respond to a formal report or recommendations. This is reflected in the Standing Orders.

### **Standing Orders**

13. A proposed set of standing Orders have been prepared for the JOSC and these would constitute the agreement to establish the JOSC.

## Summary

14. There is a need to recognise that the creation of the CJC involves a change in terms of regional partnering from the current Joint Committee arrangements. It can also be surmised that it's role will see increased prominence and probably expansion of functions or tasks. It will also develop it's own separate governance and management arrangements. There are therefore risks attached to assuming the current arrangements around the Economic Ambition Board or GwE can be transplanted into this new environment and achieve an appropriate level of challenge and scrutiny. Scrutiny is there to provide assurance for the Constituent Authorities and the CJC itself that it is properly exercising it's functions. Whichever body or bodies carry out this function they will need to have a level of understanding and information about the organisation they are scrutinising, it's work , risks and the inter-relationship with their own Councils.
15. The statutory guidance also needs to be borne in mind and the recommended JOSC model. Whilst other regions have also taken the JOSC route albeit with different models, there tends to a presumption in favour of the JOSC.
16. A JOSC would need to maintain a relationship with it's constituent Councils and authorities. It would be acting as their representative body and should not be acting as a wholly stand-alone entity. It would be seen as part of it's terms of reference as having a regional gatekeeping role in the future development of the CJC and it's functions. This would be incorporated into it's terms of reference. On specific local issues "home" scrutiny committees would still maintain the ability to scrutinise the CJC. However, it is a key area as the Guidance suggests for maintaining the democratic relationship with the home authorities. There is a risk nevertheless that this also overlooks the point that unlike traditional Joint Committees the CJC is a separate corporation which needs to develop and maintain a relationship with its partner Councils and organisations in a different way. Scrutiny is a key component of that arrangement and the CJC will be working closely with its Constituent Councils and other partners though other channels. That in its self highlights the need for Scrutiny to be being undertaken from a position of knowledge and understanding of the organisation it has an overview of.

## Statutory Officers Comments

### Monitoring Officer

Report Author

### Finance Officer

"As the report notes, implementing the Decision Sought will not be cost neutral and the additional commitment of resources will create some costs on the local authorities. However, introducing these arrangements are a core part of the governance arrangements of the Corporate Joint Committee and in order to maintain an appropriate level of Oversight and Scrutiny these costs will have to be faced."

### **Decision Sought**

- 1. Establish the North Wales Corporate Joint Committee Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee with the Terms of Reference in Appendix 1**
  - 2. Agree that the powers of local Scrutiny Committees provided for under The Corporate Joint Committees (General) (No. 2) (Wales) Regulations 2022 shall be retained .**
  - 3. Agree the political balance of Cyngor Gwynedd's nominees to the joint overview and scrutiny committee (JOSC) will reflect the membership of Cyngor Gwynedd rather than the membership of all North Wales councils in aggregate**
  - 4. Agree that the secretariat for the JOSC will be provided by the CJC in accordance with the Terms of Reference**
- .